The Universal Declaration on Archives: development and use

Kim Eberhard and Colleen McEwen

This paper outlines the events which inspired the creation of the Universal Declaration on Archives, a statement of archival purpose and solidarity which was adopted by the International Council on Archives (ICA) in September 2010 and by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) in November 2011. The development process spanned a three-year period, during which a team of people from diverse backgrounds, archival traditions and languages came together to write a statement about the importance and value of archives. The intended audience for the declaration is the general public: those not immersed in the archival endeavour. The declaration stresses the link between archives and basic human rights, government accountability, and as a valuable inheritance from one generation to another. The declaration was always intended to be used as a powerful promotional tool for archives, archivists and the ICA itself. This aspiration was enhanced by adoption of the UDA as one of a suite of standard-setting instruments by UNESCO.

Introduction

This article traces the development of the Universal Declaration on Archives (UDA) between 2007 and 2010, and the process by which it was officially adopted by the ICA (2010) and UNESCO (2011).1 The original purpose of the UDA was as a statement of the relevance and importance of archives to the general public; it is an articulation of the specific connections between records and archives and good governance, basic human rights and entitlements, cultural and community identity, history and heritage. The role of archivists as the skilled professionals underpinning access to and care of these records is also highlighted. A raft of promotion strategies was developed to ensure that the UDA was understood and embraced by the ICA membership, so that it could be promoted as widely as possible. An international collaboration, the working group that developed the declaration overcame language difficulties, differences in archival traditions and the tyranny of distance and time zones to create something which speaks to archivists – and the general public – all over the world. The drafters of the UDA never envisaged that the document would be the source of significant contention when it came to be adopted by the ICA membership. The controversy, linked to questions about the legal status within the ICA of such statements, complicated by the implications to the change in status of the UDA on its adoption as a UNESCO statement, led to a delay in the adoption of the UDA, which finally came about in September 2010.

2007: Origins

In November 2007, the ICA's annual International Conference of the Round Table on Archives (CITRA) was held, at which representatives of national archives, ICA sections and branches, and professional associations gathered for conference sessions and the ICA's annual general meeting. One session focused on the different approaches taken by various professional associations on how to engage the public and persuade decision-makers of the importance and relevance of archives and archivists. A panel forum included presentations by Kim Eberhard on the recent Australian publication *Archives matter!* and Denys Chouinard of the Association des archivists du Québec, who presented 'La déclaration québécoise sur les archives', and the various ways in which it was being used to promote the profession in French-speaking Canada. The main objective of that declaration was to engage public interest by pinpointing the areas in which archives intersect with and support the public's rights as citizens, *and* capture and hold collective experiences and thus serve as the memory of a nation. The declaration had been developed into an attractive poster, and it was being promoted by asking supporters to attach their names as signatories either digitally (on the association's website) or in a physical ledger.

It was a powerful session, with lively discussion ensuing between those on the floor and the panel. All agreed that a product or 'tool' such as this was of great potential value, and could be used as a hook to capture the imagination of the public. For too long the profession had been 'talking to itself' rather than focusing on external bodies that could, once persuaded of the value of archives, become ambassadors in support of institutions and professionals, especially when archives were under threat. Something like the Quebec declaration could be used by all members of the ICA, and indeed all professional associations, as a way to promote the value and relevance of archives and archivists. The discussion had a particular resonance, as the ICA was gearing up to its 60th anniversary in 2008 (to be celebrated at the congress in Kuala Lumpur) and there was a move to enhance the visibility of International Archives Day, an event scheduled for 9 June each year. It was resolved, as was customary at CITRAs, ² to present to the AGM the following day a resolution regarding the development of a *Universal Declaration on Archives*. Critically, it was also agreed at this afternoon session that carriage of the creation of such a document should be assigned to a definable group within the ICA, and a timeframe for delivery be specified. It was agreed that the Section for Professional Associations (SPA) would be the appropriate body to assume the responsibility for the development of the declaration. The CITRA adopted 10 resolutions, one of which was this, directing SPA to develop a *Universal Declaration on Archives*:

Considering the relevance of the initiatives that led to the formulation and publicizing of the 'Québec Declaration on Archives',

Propose that ICA mandates ICA/SPA to prepare a 'Universal Declaration on Archives' that follows this model'.³

From the beginning, it was acknowledged that the target audience for a declaration was the common citizen – that is, the declaration was an announcement of the fact that archives are relevant to everyone, not just a select few who know of their existence. Further, it would express the need for the public to recognise the vital role that archives play in every aspect of their lives. The Quebec declaration announced that responsibility for archives was universal: 'public decision-makers, citizens, owners or holders of public or private archives, archivists and information specialists'. It was clear that the resulting document was to be used to reach out to that audience. In effect, it was to be an ICA-endorsed tool for use in awareness-raising campaigns, and it was a statement of solidarity among the professions: 'this is what we, as archivists, hold to be true, and this is how that truth affects you'.

This became the starting point for the new working group, which had been appointed by December 2007. It comprised Diane Baillargeon and Denys Chouinard from Canada, Abdelkadar Kapadonou from Benin, Kim Eberhard and Colleen McEwen⁴ from Australia, and Didier Grange from Switzerland, President of SPA 2004–08.

2008: Collaborative effort

The UDA working group, which was managed by Colleen McEwen, worked quickly to produce an initial draft ready for consideration by the steering committee of SPA in March 2008. The language differences between the members of the working group, who worked principally in French and English, highlighted not only subtle nuances of meaning of words, but more significant differences stemming from divergent archival traditions. The ensuing debates enhanced the quality of the final version, as the only solution to those subtle but vital differences was to be as specific and clear as possible. For example, of particular interest was the discourse regarding what constituted a record, and how different cultural practices surrounding record creation and ongoing archival care would be reflected in the final document. As the Quebec declaration had been developed in a region which was inclusive of different languages and different recordkeeping traditions – notably the oral

traditions of indigenous peoples throughout Canada – there was an assumption that the new *Universal Declaration on Archives* would implicitly include the whole spectrum of potential records. To be too prescriptive would possibly exclude some cultures and practices; to be too open-ended would lessen the impact of the declaration. Therefore the approach adopted was to be as succinct as possible, focusing on higher level aspirations that could be equally applied in many different situations.

This robust process of working simultaneously in two languages while being aware of the different circumstances (cultural, historical, social and political) of archivists across the entire world also led to the clear distinctions apparent in the final format: the preamble, which sets out what archives are and how they are relevant to all people; the articulation of the characteristics of archives and the role of people in relation to them; and the final section, which is an expression of six principles towards which the efforts of the profession will be geared in a united global effort.

The SPA steering committee reviewed the draft, offering the first of a series of comments in a consultation process which was to span the remainder of the year. The revised draft was circulated in June 2008 to all category B members of the ICA (professional associations and organisations), effectively all the members of SPA. It was also made available to participants at the ICA congress in Kuala Lumpur later that month. The draft UDA was sent to the ASA Council for comment and approval, and also circulated to the archival community for feedback through the aus-archivists email list in late August. Only a few comments were received by the closing date for submissions, all of which noted differences in archival traditions. Overall, it was considered that the small number of responses reflected a level of satisfaction with the document.

In October, the draft was presented to the Executive Board (EB) of the ICA by Christine Martinez, who was then President of SPA. The objectives of the UDA had been refined, and were stated as being:

to proclaim the purpose, value and scope of archives and to declare the essential role archives play in the recognition and respect of human rights, in establishing collective memory and in underpinning accountable and transparent administrative actions. The draft also outlines the unique characteristics and requirements of archives and declares actions needed to ensure appropriate management and access.

The declaration is aimed at encouraging, influencing and supporting creators, custodians, users of archives (including governments, non-government and business organisations, public archives and record offices, professional associations, archivists and all citizens), to actively participate in activities that will secure the world's archival heritage.⁵

The Executive Board recommended that a program of promotion for the UDA also be developed to 'publicise and marshal support for the declaration' in order to 'ensure engagement with the document and its survival'. Various means of promoting it were flagged, such as possible involvement (as yet unspecified) by UNESCO,⁶ and promotion throughout International Archives Day. The concept of developing a register which people could sign to indicate their support was also still under consideration.

2009: Gaining momentum

The UDA working group submitted an application to the ICA in February 2009 for funding in order to develop the declaration into something other than a text document: it was felt that a visual identity for the UDA would ensure its recognition and therefore enhance its uptake and use. The successful grant application allowed the working group to engage an Australian-based graphic designer to create a signature 'look' for the UDA which would enhance its appeal and usefulness as a

promotional and awareness-raising tool. ⁷ The design was eventually printed as a poster, and exists in digital form for publication on the ICA website, as well as the websites of member professional associations. It was hoped that the UDA would have its own web presence, to be facilitated by the then impending re-development of the ICA website. The grant application and approval process further emphasised the need for a comprehensive promotions strategy to be developed for the UDA, acknowledging that a 'look' for the document was one element to be used in a broader series of activities by individuals, institutions and the ICA itself. In order to flesh out such a strategy, members of the UDA working group attended the (northern hemisphere) Spring meeting of the SPA steering committee, which was held in Stockholm in March. A round-table workshop was held to gather new ideas for the promotion of the UDA among both the members of the ICA and the general public. It was noted that promoting the UDA would have several positive and interrelated outcomes, including:

- raising awareness of the UDA (and by extension, of the ICA);
- creating understanding of the nature and role of archives and archivists;
- ensuring the acceptance of the UDA by members of the ICA (a critical point which would surface in 2009); and
- encouraging the use of the UDA as a 'product' which would further promote archives and archivists.

A comprehensive strategy was developed. This comprised a several-tiered approach based on what could reasonably be achieved by individuals, institutions, national bodies and internationally. The basic thrust of the plan involved gathering shows of support, by way of signatures in a ledger and online, from individual archivists and the general public. Additionally, it was envisaged that professional associations representing archivists and allied professions would 'sign' to indicate their support, as would archival institutions at local, state and national levels. Further, institutions and associations were encouraged to petition their relevant tier of government to 'sign on' to the UDA, as an expression of *their* support. Within the ICA, it was hoped that the constituent branches and sections would individually adopt the UDA, and that motions of such support would be directed at the ICA Secretariat. At this stage, possible UNESCO involvement was limited to the expression of a hope that the ICA would invite UNESCO to endorse the UDA.

Ideas gathered from the SPA workshop in March 2009 were in many ways unique and very creative. International Archives Day (9 June) was seen as an ideal 'hook' on which to hang UDA-related events, such as asking for signatures that day, or using that day to begin discussions with local authorities, the media or related professions about the UDA. Other ideas included:

- developing sites for the UDA on social media such as Facebook, and further promoting the success of these by reporting on the number of 'friends' it develops;
- developing an e-postcard, T-shirts and other memorabilia;
- promoting the UDA at related conferences (for example, genealogy and history conferences);
- encouraging educators (archival and other) to develop teaching models based on the UDA;
- developing a 'grand tour' similar to an Olympic torch relay between ICA congresses (at fouryear intervals) or CITRA events (held annually); and
- linking to existing UNESCO declarations such as those which impacted on cultural and documentary heritage.

The outcomes of the Stockholm workshop were collated into a comprehensive set of promotion and marketing strategies for the UDA, which were presented to the ICA in November 2009. The report articulated a shift away from the individual–national–international approach, concentrating instead on a number of activities from which individuals or groups of people could chose as the most

appropriate for their needs. It had also become possible to link the UDA to the strategic directions of the ICA, which had been revised and adopted in July 2008. Strategic direction 4.1 'Raise awareness of the importance of records and archives, especially for good governance' was a perfect fit for what the drafters of the UDA hoped to achieve. As one tool with which awareness could be raised, the UDA:

- provides a statement to help persuade key decision-makers that archives matter for good governance, administrative transparency and democratic accountability;
- underlines the strategic importance of archives for administrative efficiency;
- provides an overview of the key requirements for good archival management which can be
 used by records managers and archivists to build a case for increasing their resource allocation,
 improving facilities and increasing staff; and
- improves public knowledge and interest in archives in ensuring the capture and preservation of individual and corporate memory and in supporting democratic rights.

Translations were sought with 10 different language versions available by mid 2009. 9 Given that the declaration is universal by name, scope and potential application, and that it had been developed simultaneously in two different languages, eventual translation into other languages had always been an objective. That this was to be undertaken sooner rather than later was emphasised through the process of developing the 'look'. Working through the design process highlighted some very practical issues, which speak to the heart of working in a truly multi-cultural, multi-lingual (and multi-script) environment. The fact is that other scripts (such as Arabic, Japanese or Cyrillic) may physically take up more room on the poster than English; further, the background (which is Latin text) may not be suitable for every different application across so many different cultures. Although developing a product that would immediately be able to incorporate so much difference was beyond the scope of the original design project, the thought remained that the UDA 'look' would eventually have to accommodate these differences, and more. As a result of the initial grant application, the UDA poster was developed and printed in three ICA languages - English, French and Spanish, and these versions were distributed at the 2009 ICA annual general meeting in Malta. Work was undertaken in 2011 by the same designer to mould the existing UDA layout into a template that can be adjusted to suit local language and script.

2009: Obstacles at the ICA annual general meeting

By the time of the 2009 CITRA in Malta, it was assumed by the UDA working group, SPA and the Executive Board of the ICA that the declaration – and its aims and possible uses – were well known and understood by the members of the ICA, and that this would guarantee its fuss-free adoption by the members at the annual general meeting. This was not to be. Following a presentation by Kim Eberhard (representing the UDA working group) on the UDA outlining its development and coverage, a motion to accept the document was placed before the membership. Several objections were raised by members from the floor, the most difficult of which revolved around the level of compliance required by members should the UDA be adopted. What was the legal status of the UDA? To what extent was it binding on members? Clouding this issue was the suggestion that the UDA was to be developed into one of UNESCO's suite of statements, declarations and resolutions. It was understood that these 'instruments' were binding on members; to what extent would the UDA take on such characteristics? Vigorous discussion of these issues ensued, complicated by a lack of clarity in terms of the final motion being presented to the meeting and made urgent because the meeting had to make way for the next event scheduled at the conference venue. Delegates finally adopted the UDA in principle only, with the proviso that further research be undertaken to clarify the issues raised:

- What would adoption of the UDA mean to ICA members?
- To what extent are ICA members 'bound' by any of its statements?

And, in terms of adoption by UNESCO:

- Is there scope for an 'archival' statement within the UNESCO 'stable'?
- How are statements applied, and how is compliance monitored?
- How have existing statements been developed?
- What level of instrument would/could the UDA become?
- How is adoption by UNESCO to be effected?

Kim Eberhard, as a member of the UDA working group and as President of the Section for Archives of Churches and Religious Denominations and a member of the Executive Board, was asked by the ICA Executive Board to prepare a report tackling these concerns for consideration at its March 2010 meeting. This was submitted in December 2009, and is known as 'The UNESCO report'. ¹⁰

The delegates at the 2009 annual general meeting lost sight of the fact that the UDA has aspirational aims: its original purpose was to be a substantial statement of the undeniable value and relevance of archives and archivists to the human endeavour. Its original objectives were to:

- secure global acceptance of the purpose, value and scope of archives;
- highlight the role archives play in the recognition and respect of human rights;
- enhance understanding of the role of archives in establishing collective memory; and
- promote archives as underpinning accountable and transparent governance.

The UDA was never intended to be a standard against which compliance could or would be measured, nor was it intended to be a blunt instrument with which to bludgeon or punish archival institutions.

The UDA was and remains primarily a promotional tool. Its main purpose is to provide non-archivists – that is, the majority of the global public – with an understanding of the existence and power of archives. By articulating the concept that archives underpin a variety of basic human rights, the aim is to encourage 'buy-in' by non-archivists to various advocacy measures that the profession undertakes. This includes resource allocators, government bodies, and the average person whose only connection to archives comes through vehicles such as the television program *Who do you think you are?* For those working in the archives sector it is a very deliberate statement of solidarity and mutual support.

In a related way, the ICA has recognised that such a promotional tool might also be useful for the ICA itself. Adoption and promotion of a statement such as the UDA proclaims much about archives and archivists; it also introduces the ICA as a living organisation to the wider public. This 'image' of the ICA as a positive and influential body would be further enhanced if the organisation was directly linked to a statement or declaration that had been endorsed by a body such as UNESCO. Hence there is a strong desire by the ICA to take the UDA up a level, to enjoy the same status as other UNESCO statements concerning cultural heritage. UNESCO terms such statements as 'standard-setting instruments', of which there are three levels with varying degrees of formality, legal status, compliance requirements and non-compliance implications. A UNESCO convention has international legal authority, with binding, enforceable regulations. One of the best known examples is the Hague Convention (1954) for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict. A UNESCO declaration has no international authority, and sets out principles with no obligation for member states to sign. A UNESCO recommendation is less formal than a declaration, has no legal authority and is intended to influence the development of national laws and policies.

It is clear from this basic summary that even if the UDA were to be endorsed or adopted by UNESCO, it is most likely to take the form of one of the milder instruments, wherein legal requirements to comply do not exist.

The research also looked at whether there was scope for an archival statement to be included among those already in existence. The resounding answer is 'yes' as UNESCO has 72 standard-setting instruments relating to printed and published materials, the need to protect, preserve and share items of historic and cultural value, the need to protect the work of artists and copyright. One covers the need to share government information as required. None of the 72 clearly and succinctly covers the role of archives and archivists in protecting and preserving the documentary heritage of all nations, or in possessing the skills to manage new forms of records and information being produced in the information age. *The charter on the preservation of digital heritage*, adopted in October 2003, is the instrument most closely aligned to the work of recordkeepers and archivists, but its focus is the need for preservation, rather than creation, management and use of records.

2010: Unanimous adoption by ICA

Responsibility for the process for adoption by UNESCO of the UDA was assumed by the ICA Secretary-General and President in May 2010. The concerns raised at the 2009 annual general meeting had been laid to rest. The UDA was to be put to the 2010 ICA annual general meeting in Oslo for final approval, where it was unanimously adopted after minor linguistic changes.

The UDA remains true to its origins: it is a statement of our professional aspirations. It is not legally binding, nor will there be any mechanism put in place to measure compliance or to punish in the event of non-compliance.

2010-2011: Adoption by UNESCO

Once the UDA had been approved by ICA membership, the Executive Board committed to the next stage, which was to seek adoption by UNESCO. Enlisting the assistance of UNESCO Chief Archivist Jens Boel, a meeting was arranged in January 2011 between Mr Papa Momar Diop, former National Archivist and now Ambassador and Permanent Delegate of Senegal to UNESCO, Ms Joie Springer Senior Programme Specialist for UNESCO, Maria Liouliou Assistant Programme Specialist for UNESCO and Jens Boel to explore practical ways of facilitating the adoption of the UDA by UNESCO's General Conference. It was determined that the best chance of gaining adoption would be at the 36th Session of the General Conference (in late 2011) as an ICA/UNESCO Statement by way of a resolution submitted by Senegal and supported by other Member States. The approved text of the UDA needed to be accompanied by an introductory paragraph and a draft resolution, which would refer to other UNESCO statements and initiatives (such as Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Memory of the World Program and Blue Shield) in order to provide context for the UDA.

By May 2011, word was received that Senegal, through Papa Momar Diop, would indeed put forward the resolution; this was supported by the Delegation of Poland, with written support provided by the ambassadors of the Republic of Korea and Vietnam. The ICA began to mobilise support, principally through the Section on Professional Associations (SPA), which asked its member associations to contact their local national delegations to encourage support by those national delegations of the UDA during the UNESCO voting process. In October it became known that the adoption of the UDA was to be discussed by the Communication and Information Commission of UNESCO; once through that stage, final, formal endorsement would come from the Plenary Session of the 36th General Conference on 10 November.

An announcement was made by Jens Boel to the small group of people involved with the UDA on 4 November:

It is with immense joy that I can tell you that the Draft Resolution suggesting to the General Conference to endorse the Universal Declaration on Archives was adopted this morning by the CI Commission.

While it was technically premature to celebrate (pending final endorsement by the Plenary Session), the news spread throughout the global archival community within hours via email and social media. Final, formal endorsement by UNESCO came on 10 November 2011. The UDA had been adopted by UNESCO alongside other instruments such as the Digital Library Manifesto by the International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions (IFLA), a Code of Ethics for the Information Society and the Proclamation of World Radio Day. The context in which it appeared is as follows:

The General Conference,

Recalling Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights which provides that

"everyone has the right to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through

any media regardless of frontiers",

Also recalling UNESCO's Constitution, which stresses in Article 1 the desire of Member States to

help "maintain, increase and diffuse knowledge",

Noting that, under the Memory of the World Programme, UNESCO works to preserve

invaluable archival and library collections worldwide, ensures that they are widely diffused and, in conjunction with the International Committee of the Blue Shield

(ICBS), aims to protect the world cultural heritage,

Acknowledging that the Universal Declaration on Archives, prepared by the International Council on

Archives (ICA), is an important instrument for raising world awareness of these

problems,

Also noting that the basic principles and aims of the Universal Declaration on Archives are

consistent with those set out in the library manifestos adopted by the International

Federation of Library Associations and Institutions (IFLA) and UNESCO,

Further acknowledging the important role that archives play in support of the democratic rights of citizens,

- 1. Congratulates the International Council on Archives on its work in drawing up the Universal Declaration on Archives;
- 2. Endorses the Universal Declaration on Archives as developed and adopted by the International Council on Archives;
- 3. Encourages Member States to be guided by the principles set out in the Universal Declaration on Archives when planning and implementing future strategies and programmes nationally.¹⁵

For the original working group, this was the realisation of what had seemed a far-off and almost impossible goal, almost 4 years to the day from the 2007 CITRA in Quebec where the concept had begun.

2011-2012: Promotion of the UDA

While the ICA Secretariat focussed on gaining UNESCO endorsement of the UDA, efforts to promote the UDA amongst the archival community and within the ICA continued throughout 2010 and 2011. Presentations about the UDA, and ideas to promote it were given at a number of conferences, such as the European Conference on Archives in Geneva in April 2010, the Australian Society of Archivists conference in October 2010 and the joint Archives and Records Association (UK & Ireland) and SPA conference in Edinburgh in 2011. Two sessions were held on the UDA at the ICA Congress in Brisbane in August 2012. The poster – the UDA's visual signature – was updated to reflect adoption by UNESCO, while translations into more languages continue as volunteers come forward to assist. The UDA has been officially adopted by a number of archival institutions across the globe, including businesses, state and local authority archives, private archives and universities.

2012 onwards

The original working group responsible for the development of the UDA has been disbanded. Due to the cyclical nature of the composition of the steering committees of ICA bodies (which is tied to elections every four years at each Congress), the individuals within SPA who have been most responsible for championing the UDA since 2007 will in 2012 step away from both SPA and the UDA. While the ICA Secretariat has taken a role in promoting the UDA for its adoption by UNESCO, it is strongly recommended that a specific entity within the ICA is charged with continuing the activities – large and small – which together help to promote the UDA. This task requires dedication and focus. While the UDA may form a pillar of the ICA's overall promotion strategy, it is recommended that promotion of the UDA forms an integrated, but separate, activity within the ICA.



Figure 1. The updated poster (using the original 2009 'look') with the UNESCO logo, after endorsement in November 2011.

Appendix: Universal declaration on archives

[As unanimously adopted by ICA AGM in Oslo, 17 September 2010.]

Archives record decisions, actions and memories. Archives are a unique and irreplaceable heritage passed from one generation to another. Archives are managed from creation to preserve their value and meaning. They are authoritative sources of information underpinning accountable and transparent administrative actions. They play an essential role in the development of societies by safeguarding and contributing to individual and community memory. Open access to archives enriches our knowledge of human society, promotes democracy, protects citizens' rights and enhances the quality of life.

To this effect we recognize

- **the unique quality** of archives as authentic evidence of administrative, cultural and intellectual activities and as a reflection of the evolution of societies;
- the vital necessity of archives for supporting business efficiency, accountability and transparency, for protecting citizens rights, for establishing individual and collective memory, for understanding the past, and for documenting the present to guide future actions;
- the diversity of archives in recording every area of human activity;
- **the multiplicity of formats** in which archives are created including paper, electronic, audio visual and other types;
- **the role of archivists** as trained professionals with initial and continuing education, serving their societies by supporting the creation of records and by selecting, maintaining and making these records available for use;
- **the collective responsibility** of all citizens, public administrators and decision-makers, owners or holders of public or private archives, and archivists and other information specialists in the management of archives.

We therefore undertake to work together in order that

- appropriate national archival policies and laws are adopted and enforced;
- the management of archives is valued and carried out competently by all bodies, private or public, which create and use archives in the course of conducting their business;
- adequate resources are allocated to support the proper management of archives including the employment of trained professionals;
- archives are managed and preserved in ways that ensure their authenticity, reliability, integrity and usability;
- archives are made accessible to everyone, while respecting the pertinent laws and the rights
 of individuals, creators, owners and users;
- archives are used to contribute to the promotion of responsible citizenship.

Endnotes

. .

- ⁴ Colleen McEwen is the Australian Society of Archivists' representative on the SPA Steering Committee, a role she has held since 2004. Colleen is currently joint Secretary of SPA.
- ⁵ Report on the Development of the International [sic] Declaration on Archives for the Executive Board Meeting, Vienna, October 2008.
- ⁶ United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, established 1948.
- ⁷ The design was produced by Deborah McLeod, Double Up Productions Pty Ltd.
- ⁸ *Universal declaration on archives marketing and promotion strategies*, ICA Section of Records Management and Archival Professional Associations, November 2009.
- ⁹ The languages were Arabic, Catalan, English, French, German, Hebrew, Polish, Russian, Spanish and Ukrainian, with further translations into Japanese, Italian and Croatian under development.
- ¹⁰ Kim Eberhard, 'The Universal Declaration on Archives in a UNESCO context: process and implications', December 2009 [unpublished paper].
- ¹¹ At the time the report 'The Universal Declaration on Archives in a UNESCO context: process and implications' was written, there were 28 conventions (15 of which affect culture, information and/or heritage); 31 recommendations (17 of which affect culture, information and/or heritage) and 13 declarations (7 of which affect culture, information and/or heritage).
- ¹² Email 17 January 2011 from Jens Boel to Martin Berendse and David Leitch
- ¹³ For example, Professor Eric Ketelaar tweeted the news on 7 November 2011
- 14 Records of the General Conference $36^{\rm th}$ Session Paris 25 October -10 November 2011 Volume 1 Resolutions UNESCO 2012.

¹ This paper is based on an article which appeared in *Archives and Manuscripts* Vol 39 No. 1 (2011) pp 196-213

² The 2007 CITRA was the last at which resolutions were taken from the various sessions of the conference and debated (and, if successful, adopted) at the annual general meeting.

³ 2007 ICA Annual General Meeting Quebec City (Canada) 15–16 November 2007 Resolutions [Author's copy].

¹⁵ See http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0021/002150/215084e.pdf Accessed 26 July 2012